## BDAC Ecosystem Roundtable Meeting Summary November 8, 1996

## **Draft Meeting Summary**

Gary Bobker opened the meeting as Acting Chairperson. There were no revisions to the draft agenda that had been mailed to participants in October.

Following an introduction by Lester Snow on the mission of the Ecosystem Roundtable and the associated section of Proposition 204, Mary Scoonover gave a presentation on conflict of interest provisions that apply to members of the Roundtable. The attached handout summarizes key points of these provisions. Roundtable meetings are open to the public, and require publication of a public notice and agenda. All decision making must occur in a properly noticed, public meeting. Requirements and restrictions regarding noticing of meetings, content of meetings, and discussion of Roundtable business between Roundtable members outside of the published meeting time are addressed in the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) and the State Open Meeting Act. Members were advised to consult with Mary if they have any questions or concerns related to compliance with these requirements. It was noted that associated work groups that may be formed are fact-finding bodies, and their meetings (in contrast to Roundtable meetings) may not be subject to the same public meeting requirements.

Cindy Darling presented the operating procedures for the Roundtable, and addressed the need to make a decision regarding selection of a chairperson(s). Subsequent discussions resulted in a consensus to rotate the chair responsibilities between Gary Bobker, Jason Peltier, and Greg Gartrell with each serving as chair for four months of the year. The operating rules were changed slightly to reflect that the Ecosystem Roundtable members serve at the discretion of the BDAC chair. The Roundtable approved the operating proceedures with the understanding that they could modify them if needed in the future.

Cindy Darling continued her presentation with a discussion of the role of the Ecosystem Roundtable. The Roundtable's mission is to provide stakeholder input on implementation of ecosystem restoration activities over the next 3 to 5 years. The Roundtable's role is separate from the concurrent Programmatic EIR process, and is focussed on implementation rather than long range planning. This is distinct from the mission of the existing BDAC Ecosystem Workgroup, who's role is to provide stakeholder input on the overall Ecosystem Restoration Program Plan which is part of the long range planning.

Discussion ensued regarding the materials presented to the Roundtable. The questions and issues raised in this discussion fell into several broad categories, including:

- Potential difficulties in implementing restoration actions and the need to develop tools to overcome those difficulties including the need to address permitting for projects,
- The scope of the coordination role of the Ecosystem Roundtable with other programs,
- The incorporation of CVPIA objectives and activities into the Roundtable,

- The appropriate mechanism for interaction with the CALFED Management Team,
- The degree to which goals for non-CALFED programs will be acknowledged or incorporated, and
- The appropriate tools available for the Roundtable to use and the products expected to be produced.

These issues were recorded on flip charts and are included as an attachment (with some modification and organization). CALFED staff will work to clarify the materials presented to the Roundtable and address these issues in the package to be mailed out prior to the next meeting.

Additional issues and concerns which were discussed later in the meeting included the need to have federal and state agency representative involvement. There was consensus that the agency representatives should be invited to future Roundtable meetings. Additional concerns were expressed regarding the Roundtable's funding process, specifically that projects that have been rejected at other levels within the CALFED Bay-Delta Program or BDAC not be recommended for funding by the Roundtable.

Lester Snow providing some concluding remarks regarding the role of the Roundtable to take goals and objectives from the Ecosystem Restoration Program and implement them, rather than revise or discuss them. He suggested that a list of goals, objectives, and subobjectives for the Roundtable be provided at the next meeting.

## Next steps and meetings:

The next meeting of the Ecosystem Roundtable is set for Friday, December 13, 1996, 9:00 a.m. - 3 p.m. in the State Water Resources Control Board Hearing Room. The January meeting was also set for Monday, January 13, 1997, 10:00 a.m. - 3 p.m., although the meeting will be shortened if sufficient progress is made at the December meeting.

## Scope and Responsibilities of the Roundtable

- Is it limited to Category III or will it encompass other funding programs?
- Liaison with CALFED Management Group
  - 1. How does Roundtable interact with the CALFED agencies?
  - 2. Need to ensure the relationship between the Roundtable and management group, or their respective roles, are consistent with the types of recommendations the Roundtable will be making
- Need to function independent of the CALFED process: Look beyond the "box"
- "Fix" the ecosystem
- The Roundtable needs ongoing contact with CALFED agency representatives
- Possible types of recommendations. Do they include:
  - 1. How to screen?
  - 2. Criteria for fish screens?
  - 3. Issues such as land retirement on west side?
- Mission: Too narrow?
- Intent: Ecosystem Restoration
- 5th bullet for Mission Statement: Integration of CALFED programs with other programs
- Land retirement in CALFED is part of drainage issue but not part of ecosystem restoration.
- Need better definition of Roundtable scope:
  - 1. Tools
  - 2. Objectives
- Narrow down definition Roundtable's role/scope so it is workable, but not too constraining
- Needs to focus on creative, pro-active thinking and problem solving
- Make sure the Roundtable does not duplicate BDAC mission
- Concerned with having things off the table
- Ask staff for summary of programs, objectives and actions for group
- -Provide list of existing tools
- Decide what is desired future condition and how to get there-vision/mission for Roundtable
- Review CALFED Ecosystem Restoration Program strategy targets
- -Targets workshop on November 19, Sacramento
- Challenge: To determine effective projects
- -Roundtable to be "board of directors"-for early implementation of projects identified by CALFED stakeholders as needed for restoration.
- Translate goals and objectives to criteria to jump start implementation
- Scope of responsibility: Roundtable members may want to participate in developing the ecosystem restoration program targets. This participation may also help define the major issues to be addressed by the Roundtable. A public workshop on the target development process is scheduled for 11/19 at the Beverly Garland Hotel in Sacramento-8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.
- The Roundtable can provide the administration structure for making the project funding decisions.
  - 1. Need to balance the needs of the system
  - 2. Address the priority issues early in the program